UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,187	02/14/2001	Tetsuro Motoyama	194543US-2	9855	
	7590 03/21/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2155			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/21/2007	ELECTRONIC		

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/21/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

					<del></del>		
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/782,187		MOTOYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Shawki S. Isr	nail	2155			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the process of the property of the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS 1.1.136(a). In no event, iod will apply and will ex tute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	I.  the mailing date of this o			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 December 2006	6.				
,—	•	his action is non	=				
,—							
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,					
·		ion					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —							
7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, —		d/or election real	irement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		* *				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•		ed in this Nationa	l Stage		
	application from the International Bur	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/10/2007</u> .		Other:	• • •			

Application/Control Number: 09/782,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment received on October 26, 2006 and the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) received on December 22, 2006.

Claims 1, 13, 21, 25 and 33 have been amended.

Claims 1-36 are pending.

References in applicant's IDS form 1449 have been considered.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114 1.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 22, 2006 has been entered.

# New Ground(s) of Rejection

3. Applicant's amendment and arguments received on April 26, 2006 have been fully considered, however they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2155

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 13-19 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aikens et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,414,494 (hereinafter referred to as Aikens).
- 6. As to claims 13 and 25 Aikens teaches a computer-implemented method for collecting information from a target software application residing in a device unit, the method comprising the steps of:

Obtaining, from the target software application through a software interface, by a monitoring software device residing in the device unit and having a plurality of monitoring components, information regarding execution of the target software application, wherein the plurality of monitoring components includes an event logger (col. 4, lines 51-64 and col. 5, lines 50-67, various sensors and detectors monitor applications on the printing device and data from the monitoring is recorded and stored in the event logger file or the crash logger file);

Processing, by the monitoring software device, instruction sent from the target software application by the monitoring software device, wherein the instructions include sending event data to a remote site and storing event data in a local disk wherein the processing step includes the steps of accessing a shared system resource and executing a plurality of instructions included in the system resource (col. 5, lines 50-67, col. 4, lines 51-65 and col. 11, line 58 – col. 12, line 22) data for diagnostics, machine monitoring, or machine usage are stored on file in the printing device for transmission to

Art Unit: 2155

a remote location based on the notification preset mode defined in the user interface and inputted into the application system software 150);

Wherein the device unit is one an image printing device and an appliance (refer to Fig. 2).

- 7. As to claims 14 and 26, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 13 and 25, respectively, wherein the at least one system resource component includes at least one of a system clock, persistent system information storage, electronic mail transfer code and file transfer code (col. 4, lines 51-64, persistently storing event data).
- 8. As to claims 15 and 27, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 13 and 25, respectively, wherein at least one of the plurality of monitoring components accesses the system resource using a system resource interface (col. 5, lines 50-67).
- 9. As to claims 16 and 28, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 13 and 25, respectively, wherein the target application includes one of a software program being executed on a computer or workstation under control of a user, a software program driving a control panel of a business device, a software program driving a control panel of an appliance, software generating data regarding state changes within a device, and software generating data regarding state changes within an appliance (col. 4, lines 51-64, monitoring element monitors changes in machine operating conditions to detect any changes or failures in the operating states of the machine).
- 10. As to claims 17 and 29, Aikens teaches the system according to claims 13 and 25, respectively, wherein the information regarding execution of a target application includes at least one of a user identification, an application identification, a cumulative

session number, a value of a starting time, a value of a duration and an indication of a sequence of events with a corresponding elapsed time for each one of the events (refer to Fig. 9 and col. 10, lines 11-18).

- 11. As to claims 18 and 30, they do not further teach or define any new limitation above claims 14 and 17, and 26, and 29, respectively; therefore they are rejected for similar reasons.
- 12. As to claims 19 and 31, Aikens teaches the system according to claims 13 and 25, respectively, wherein the monitoring device having a plurality of monitoring components includes an event logger and wherein the at least one system resource component includes a system clock, wherein the event logger accesses the system clock at least for recording a time of starting a monitoring session (col. 6, lines 15-32).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 20-24, and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Aikens et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,414,494** (hereinafter referred to as Aikens) and in view of **Kremen et al**. U.S. Patent No. **5,706,434** (hereinafter referred to as Kremen).

Art Unit: 2155

15. As to claim 20-24 and 32-36, Aikens teaches the claimed invention as described above. However Aikens does not explicitly teach wherein the transmitting device transmits formatted data according to a requested data format or a requested communication protocol.

Kremen teaches a method and apparatus to accomplish creation and serving of data objects. Kremen teaches a formatting of data received by a processor into a format that is recognizable by the end user and formats the data for outgoing transmission according to a protocol of an intended recipient (Abstract, col. 5, lines 20-59 and col. 7, lines 48-67.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Aikens and Kremen to incorporate a data formatter in order to offer diverse clients with different or varying capabilities to communicate and amongst each other (col. 2 line, 61 – col. 3, line12.).

- 16. Claim 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aikens et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,414,494 (hereinafter referred to as Aikens) and in view of Fontana et al. U.S Patent No. 6,237,143 (hereinafter referred to as Fontana).
- 17. As to claim 1 Aikens teaches the claimed invention as described above with reference to claim 13. However, Aikens does not explicitly teach wherein a target application interface is configured to receive a plurality of monitoring requests regarding monitoring of the target software application from the target application for processing by the monitoring device.

Art Unit: 2155

Fontana teaches a method and system for monitoring and capturing file usage of a software tool. Fontana teaches a start monitoring request issued by the tool wrapper 30 to direct the file filter software to start monitoring the input/output operations performed by the tool 17.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Fontana into the invention of Aikens to be able to start and stop monitoring system usage of an application at the request of the application in order to accurately monitor the overall system.

- 18. As to claim 2, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the at least one system resource component includes at least one of a system clock, persistent system information storage, electronic mail transfer code and file transfer code (col. 4, lines 51-64, persistently storing event data).
- 19. As to claim 3 Aikens teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of monitoring components accesses the system resource using a system resource interface (col. 5, lines 50-67).
- 20. As to claim 4, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the target application includes one of a software program being executed on a computer or workstation under control of a user, a software program driving a control panel of a business device, a software program driving a control panel of an appliance, software generating data regarding state changes within a device, and software generating data regarding state changes within an appliance (col. 4, lines 51-64, monitoring element

monitors changes in machine operating conditions to detect any changes or failures in the operating states of the machine).

Page 8

- 21. As to claim 5, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the information regarding execution of a target application includes at least one of a user identification, an application identification, a cumulative session number, a value of a starting time, a value of a duration and an indication of a sequence of events with a corresponding elapsed time for each one of the events (refer to Fig. 9 and col. 10, lines 11-18).
- 22. As to claim 6 it does not further teach or define any new limitation above claims 2 and 5, therefore it is rejected for similar reasons.
- 23. As to claim 7, Aikens teaches the system according to claim 1, wherein the monitoring device having a plurality of monitoring components includes an event logger and wherein the at least one system resource component includes a system clock, wherein the event logger accesses the system clock at least for recording a time of starting a monitoring session (col. 6, lines 15-32).
- Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aikens et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,414,494 (hereinafter referred to as Aikens) and in view of Fontana et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,237,143 (hereinafter referred to as Fontana) and further in view of Kremen et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,706,434 (hereinafter referred to as Kremen).
- 25. As to claim 8-12 Aikens teaches the claimed invention as described above. However Aikens does not explicitly teach wherein the transmitting device transmits

formatted data according to a requested data format or a requested communication protocol.

Kremen teaches a method and apparatus to accomplish creation and serving of data objects. Kremen teaches a formatting of data received by a processor into a format that is recognizable by the end user and formats the data for outgoing transmission according to a protocol of an intended recipient (Abstract, col. 5, lines 20-59 and col. 7, lines 48-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Aikens, Fontana and Kremen to incorporate a data formatter in order to offer diverse clients with different or varying capabilities to communicate and amongst each other (col. 2 line, 61 – col. 3, line12).

26. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

## Response to Arguments

27. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-36 filed on October 26, 2006 have been fully considered. Applicant argues in substance that:

Art Unit: 2155

Aikens fails to disclose the claimed software interface Argument:

Response: As previously explained to applicant's representative during the October 18, 2006 interview, the claimed software interface is inherently taught by Aikens. Aikens teaches wherein various sensors and detectors monitor applications on the printing device and data from the monitoring is recorded and stored in the event logger file or the crash logger file. The sensors (which are hardware) are monitoring software applications on the printing device. There must be an interface between a hardware device and a software device in order for communication to commence. Therefore, Aikens does in face teach a software interface and meets the scope of the claimed limitation as currently presented.

Argument: Aikens does not disclose that instructions are sent from the target software application for processing by the monitoring software device, wherein one of the instructions is an instruction for sending the event data to a remote site.

Response: Aikens discloses:

Referring to FIG. 3, certain key machine operating events (such as current event data) which define the proper execution of the control system such as user interface buttons being set, changes in application software operating states, interlock switches opening and closing, notification of control or system faults, execution of key routines, etc., are input as they occur by the applications system software 150 under control of processor 196 to dynamic memory (RAM) 155 (col. 4, liens 53-62, emphasis added).

Aikens further discloses that the a user or an operator may set remote notification preset mode for presetting conditions requiring automatic notification to selected remote stations is entered into the control panel through the user interface buttons. These events are inputted as they occur by the applications system software

Art Unit: 2155

150 under control of processor 196 to dynamic memory (RAM) 155. Therefore, these

Page 11

inputted events are in fact instruction which are sent from the target software application

for processing by the monitoring software device. These instruction specify how and

when to notify a remote site of an occurrence of a condition on the printing device.

Therefore, Aikens meets the scope of the claimed limitations as currently presented.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-

3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail Patent Examiner March 9, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER